



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,932	10/13/2004	Bill Yang	14523-US-PA	5931	
31561	7590 12/12/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE			DAO, M	DAO, MINH D	
7 FLOOR-1 ROOSEVEI	, NO. 100 LT ROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	100		2618		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>-</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/711,932	YANG, BILL					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		MINH D. DAO	2618					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	 nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
/—		action is non-final.						
3)	,—							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		•						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	ate							
3) Unfor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/711,932

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma
 (US 2003/0076952) in view of Admitted prior Art(APA) indicated in Para 8 of the
 Specifications.

Regarding claim 1, Ma teaches A device connectable to audio equipment, suitable for connecting a stereo headset and suitable for transceiving signal in a mobile communication device and an audio equipment, wherein the mobile communication device comprises a first transceiver, the audio equipment comprises a first audio-out port, and the device; Abstract and Summary of the invention; also see figs. 1 and 2) comprises:

a second audio-out port for connecting the stereo headset (see figs. 1 and 2); a second connection connected to the second audio-out port for communicating with the first transceiver to transceive signal provided by the mobile communication device (see figs. 1 and 2); and

Application/Control Number: 10/711,932

Art Unit: 2618

an audio-in port connected to the second audio-out port for connecting to the first audio-out port of the audio equipment (see figs. 1 and 2),

wherein when a calling signal from the mobile communication device is received by the second connection, the audio signal transmitted to the stereo headset is switched to the audio signal provided by the mobile

communication device (see figs. 1 and 2; also see sections [0007-0014]. However, Ma does not mention a Bluetooth device implemented to perform communication between a Bluetooth phone to the headphone. Such limitation is indicated by the APA (Para 8 of the Specifications). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of so that it would accommodate a Bluetooth connection between the phone and the headphone as suggested by the above teaching of APA to Ma in order for the combined system to eliminate the inconvenient wired connection between phone and headphone.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Ma and APA teaches the bluetooth device connectable to audio equipment of claim 1, wherein the audio-in port is connected to the first audio-out port of the audio equipment via a connection cable (see fig. 2 of Ma).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Ma and APA teaches the bluetooth device connectable to audio equipment of claim 2, further comprising: a microphone connected to the second bluetooth transceiver; and a speaker connected to the second bluetooth transceiver (see fig. 2 of Ma).

Application/Control Number: 10/711,932

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Ma and APA teaches the bluetooth device connectable to audio equipment of claim 1, further comprising a battery for supplying power to the bluetooth device (see section [0012] of Ma).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Ma and APA teaches the bluetooth device connectable to audio equipment of claim 1, wherein the audio equipment comprises a MP3 player, a CD player, a TV, a radio, a VCD player, a DVD player, a laptop computer, a Personal Digital Assistant (PDA), a car stereo, or a home stereo system (see fig. 2 of Ma).

Regarding claim 6, the rejection of claim 1 is herein incorporated. In addition, the combination of Ma and APA also teaches a microphone connected to the second bluetooth transceiver (see fig. 2 of Ma and Para 8 of APA).

Regarding claim 7, it is obvious that the combination of Ma and APA is able to include a power source within the Bluetooth headphone to supply power to the unit.

Regarding claim 8, the rejection of claim 5 is herein incorporated.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,932 Page 5

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MATTHEW ANDERSON can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Minh Dao 10/10/1) AU 2618

December 7, 2006

Matthew Anderson Superviser AU 2618